


RECEIVED
JUN 25 2002
BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP

PETITIONS OFFICE

COUNSELLORS AT LAW
since 1894MARI DOROUGH, MA OFFICE
TELEPHONE (508) 485-7772MAILING ADDRESS:
P.O. BOX 9169
BOSTON, MA 02209101 FEDERAL STREET
BOSTON, MA 02110
(617) 439-4444
FAX (617) 439-4170DIKE, BRONSTEIN, ROBERTS & CUSHMAN
Intellectual Property Practice GroupWASHINGTON, DC
TELEPHONE (708) 553-2563

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

FAX RECEIVED

JUN 24 2002

DATE: June 24, 2002

TO: Office Of Petitions
USPTOPETITIONS OFFICE
FAX NO.: 1-703-308-6916
COUNTRY: USA

FROM: Peter F. Corless

FAX NOS.: (617) 439-4444

Our Reference No.: 50533
Your Reference No.: U.S.S.N. 09/778,365No. of Pages: 3
(including cover page)

MESSAGE:

NOTICE

The message and/or information which accompanies this facsimile cover sheet is intended for the addressee named above only. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by collect telephone at the number indicated. You will be advised regarding the disposition of what you have received. The mis-delivery of the message and/or information which accompanies this facsimile cover sheet is not intended to be and shall not constitute a disclosure of trade secrets, of attorney work product or of an attorney-client communication. No waiver of any privilege is intended. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

BOSTON HARTFORD NEWPORT NEW YORK PALM BEACH PROVIDENCE SHORT HILLS

#12

FAX RECEIVED
CANCELLED
BEST AVAILABLE COPY JUN 25 2002

Docket No. 50533

PETITIONS OFFICE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: G. Barclay et al.

FAX RECEIVED

SERIAL NO.: 09/778,365

JUN 24 2002

FILED: February 7, 2001

PETITIONS OFFICE

FOR: HIGH RESOLUTION PHOTORESIST COMPOSITIONS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

**FURTHER PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO ACCORD FILING DATE OF
FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

Applicants received Decision Dismissing Request for Reconsideration dated April 23, 2002 in the referenced matter. A copy of that Decision is enclosed.

Applicants hereby again petition to be accorded a filing date of February 7, 2001 rather than February 7, 2001. The basis for that refusal to grant the February 7 filing date is that Applicants have not provided a copy of the Express Mail receipt with date-in notation.

As indicated in prior petitions, Applicants have not been able to locate a copy of that Express Mail Label receipt.

However, the rules clearly do not require such receipt as the only means to confirm Express Mail filing of an application. Indeed, Applicants have provided substantial evidence substantiating the February 7, 2001 filing of the application.

The undersigned plans to contact by telephone the Petitions Office to further discuss the merits of this petition.

Barclay et al.
U.S.S.N. 09/778,365
Page 2

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

With respect to evidence submitted, Applicants have submitted 1) documentation generated within one business of the filing substantiating a February 7, 2001 filing; 2) U.S. Postal Service verification that the application was delivered on February 8, 2001 at 10:52 am in Washington, D.C. That February 8 delivery could not have occurred unless the application had been submitted to the U.S. Postal Service on February 7, 2001.

The evidence of record satisfies requirements of 37 CFR 1.10(d). In view thereof, grant of the petition is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Victor F. Corless (Reg. 33,860)

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman IP Group

P.O. Box 9169

Boston, Massachusetts 02209

(617) 439-4444

03 308 6916

*** RX REPORT ***

RECEPTION OK

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

TX/RX NO	6737
CONNECTION TEL	617 439 4170
CONNECTION ID	
START TIME	06/24(MO)14:57
USAGE TIME	01'01"
PGS.	3
RESULT	OK